Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Last year the kharif crop has failed and therefore suspension has been sanctioned to villages both in Gadag and Mundargi Talukas.

Sri C. S. HULKOTI.—Then does it not mean that scarcity conditions did prevail there ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—It amounted to scarcity conditions at that time.

Sri C. S. HULKOTI.—For this year, has not suspension been given ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—That is for last year's recovery.

CONTRIBUTION BY VILLAGE PANCHAYAT AND TALUK DEVELOPMENT BOARDS TOWARDS COST OF WORKS SANCTIONED UNDER N. E. S. AND C. D. SCHEMES.

*Q.—625. Sri Y. MANJAYYA SHETTY (Bandur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether the Village Panchayat and Taluk Development Boards can contribute towards the cost of works sanctioned under N.E.S. and C. D. Schemes ;

(*b*) if so, what is the limit of their contribution ?

A.—Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Deputy Minister for Planning and Development).—

(*a*) Yes, from out of their income raised by their own taxes, fines, fees or proceeds from their assets.

(*b*) *Vide* statement appended.

STATEMENT.

Types of work	Quantum of Popular Contribution presented
1	2
I. A. H. Programme.	
Constructional works executed through peoples agencies :	
Buildings for Veterinary and A. I. Centres.	25 per cent

(Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY)

1	2
II. Health and Rural Sanitation.	
Constructional works executed through peoples agencies :	
1 New wells, repairs and improvements to existing wells. 2 Hospital buildings, including repairs and improvements to the existing buldings. 3 Latrines and Urinals. 4 Buildings for Maternity Homes 5 Public drains, gutters and payment to village lanes.	25 per cent
III. Education.	
Constructional works executed through peoples agencies :	
1 New School buildings, including repairs and improvements to existing buildings.	40 per cent
IV. Social Education.	
(1) Youth Clubs (2) Yuvak Mandals.	25 per cent
(1) Farmers Clubs (2) Rural Libraries (3) Adult Literacy Centres (4) Mahila Mandals (5) Bala Mandals, etc.	50 per cent
V. Building Programme.	
Works excuted through peoples agencies.	
1 Buildings for Women and Children's Welfare Centres. 2 Buildings for Community Recreation Centres. 3 Gymnasium.	50 per cent
VI. Works Programme.	
1 Roads. 2 Causeways, culverts and bridges.	25 per cent

Sri Y. MANJAYYA SETTY.–Can I take it for granted that Panchayats and taluk boards also can contribute towards the cost of works mentioned under N.E.S. and C.D. scheme as per statement appended?

*Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Panchayats can contribute according to the schedule given here and not taluk-boards. There is a small mistake in the answer given to (*a*) ; that has to be corrected. It is the Panchayats that can contribute not taluk boards. That is the correction.

Sri Y. MANJAYYA SETTY.—May I know whether Government is aware that some of the Deputy Commissioners are not sanctioning panchayat contributions more than 12½ per cent of the total cost, of any work taken under the C.D. and N.E.S.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.–That is in the case of L.D. works in regard to drinking water wells at least 5½ per cent contribution by the people 37½ per cent by the panchayat. With regard to N.E.S., a constribution of 25 per cent is allowed. If the Hon'ble Member can bring to the notice of the Government any instances where D.Cs. have not followed the instruction we will take action.

Sri Y. MANJAYYA SETTY.—Will the Government issue a general circular to the Deputy Commissioners that they should adher to the instructions in the Government order regarding the contribution of the panchayats.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.– Circular has been issued and is contained in the Manual which has been supplied to the Deputy Commissioners and also the Assistant Commissioners.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯನಾಯಕ್.—(*a*) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕ್ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಮಂಡಲಿಗಳು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಈಗ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಖಾನಗಿಯವರಿಂದ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ನಿಶ್ಚಯವಾಗಿ ಯಾರು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಿಂಗಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರೂ ಕೊಡಬಹುದು ; ಖಾನಗಿಯವರೂ ಕೊಡಬಹುದು. ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಗಳವರು ಮತ್ತು ಖಾನಗಿ ಜನರೂ ಒಂದು ದಾವಾಷಾ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಶೇಕಡಾವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯನಾಯಕ್.—ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರೂ ಕೊಡಬಹುದು, ಖಾನಗಿಯವರೂ ಕೊಡಬಹುದೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರೂ, ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರು ಕೊಡಲೇಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮೀಷನರ್‌ರವರು ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ತಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಿಂಗಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—1960ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳಿಂದ ಪಾಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರು ಕೊಡಬಹುದೆಂದು ವಿಧಾಯಕ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯನಾಯಕ್.—ಈ ತಃಖ್ತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣಕ್ಕೆ, 40% ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರು ಅಥವಾ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರೂ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದಿದೆ. ಅದೇ ರೀತಿ ಪಟ್ಟಣಗಳಲ್ಲೂ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಿಂಗಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಪಟ್ಟಣಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನ್ಯೂಕ್ಲಿಯಸ್ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯನಾಯಕ್.—ಪಟ್ಟಣಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರೇ ಕಟ್ಟಡಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆಂಬುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಪಟ್ಟಣದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—In answer to (*b*) you have appended a statement and the last column refers only to the quantum of popular contribution presented. But it does not say what is the limit prescribed or the percentage that could be paid by either the panchayats or the taluk boards. What you said by way of correction just now does not substantiate the written statement. It only gives the quantum of popular contribution. Do you consider that the village panchayat contribution is the popular contribution?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Originally this contribution had to be made by the people. Subsequently, we allowed the Panchayats also. With regard to the Taluk boards, also, there are two items which the taluk boards can undertake—one is with regard to the budget provision and other with regard to their own funds.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Therefore, the answer does not relate to village panchayats ; it only relates to popular contribution ?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—That is the percentage upto which the village panchayat also will have to make contribution.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—For instance, under 'constructional works executed through peoples agencies' for buildings for veterinary and A. I. Centres' popular contribution is 25%. Has the village panchayat also to contribute 25% ?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Where people do not come forward with popular contribution, the village panchayat has to make good the peoples contribution of 25%.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—What is the law which regulates the payment of contribution by the village panchayat ?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—This is done by a circular issued to panchayats. Formerly panchayats had not been allowed to make this contribution, but subsequently have been empowred to make contribution either as people's contribution or as panchayat contribution.

ಶ್ರೀ ಇ. ನಾರಾಯಣ ರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಲೋಕಲ್ ಕಂಟ್ರಿಬ್ಯೂಷನ್ ಬಾರದೇಇರುವ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಟ್ಟಾ ಕ್ವಾರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಪಹಿಸಿ ಅವರು ಅವರ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡ 12½ ಯಷ್ಟು ಕಡಿಮೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಿಂಗಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಇಂಥಾದ್ದು ನಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

Dr. A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—In the rural areas for constructing school buildings you say that 40% of the cost must be borne by the people, but in urban areas Government is constructing primary schools bearing the full cost. May I know why Government is following a different policy in urban areas and why it is partial towards urban areas ?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—The community development programme is confined to rural areas only. We are not carrying out any school building programme in urban areas.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—May I know whether there is any legal sanction behind your demand for contribution by village panchayats ?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—One of the cardinal principles of community development programme is people's contribution either in cash, labour or material.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—I want to know whether there is any legal sanction under the Village Panchayats Act enabling the village panchayats to make this contribution?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—We will have this point examined?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಜನವು ಕೊಡದ್ದೇ ಹೋದರೆ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯತಿಗಳು ಕೊಡಬಹುದೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಬೋರ್ಡಿನವರು ಕೊಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಿಂಗಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಅವರೇ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದು ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಜನರಿಂದ ಬಂದಮೇಲೆ ಉಳಿದುದನ್ನು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕ್ ಬೋರ್ಡಿನವರೇ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಏನೂ ಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಿಂಗಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಬೋರ್ಡಿಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಹಣವಿಂದಲೇ ಅವರು ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವುದು, ಅವರು ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಹಣವಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿನಜೇಕು.

Sri GAJANAN PANDIT.—Can we have more particulars about the circular to which you referred?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—The circular is dated 24th October 1960. It is stated there that any contribution made by a panchayat from out of the funds received by it from the Taluka Development Board or Government should not be taken into account as local contribution. The contribution should be from its own funds.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Who will operate upon the N. E. S. fund hereafter wherever Taluka Development Boards are formed ?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Taluka Developement Boards.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—In answer to (*a*) you have said "from out of their income raised by their own taxes, fines fees or proceeds from their assets." This is not clear. In addition to their own assets, taxes, etc. the Taluk Development Boards and the Village Panchayats receive funds from Government as their share of land revenue does it mean that the Taluk Development Boards and Village Panchayats should not contribute towards these works from out of the funds received from Government through land revenue assignment?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—I have made it clear that Taluk Development Boards need not make any contribution. They will have to spent 75% or 50% depending upon the contribution that will be coming forward from the village panchayats or the people. The village panchayats have their own income and out of that they can make their contribution and the balance will be made good by the Taluk Development Board and the work will be carried out.

Sri P. G. SIDHANTI.—Do you mean to say that the land revenue assign received from Government by the panchayats do not form part of their own assets ?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—I Such assets as are received as grant from the Taluka Development Board or the Government cannot be given as contribution because it has been given as a grant by the Government.

Sri P. G. SIDHANTI.—As soon as assignment is made by Government out of the land revenue, it forms part of the funds of the panchayat.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—No doubt, but it can contribute only out of its own income and not from the money given by Government as grant.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—I want the Minister to make it clear whether a village panchayat or a Taluk Development Board can give a portion of its assets either from out of the money received from Government or from its own resources?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—It has been made clear in the circular that in respect of these works the contribution should be either in cash or labour or material contributed by the people or from the income of the village panchayat out of the resources raised by its own taxes, fines, fees or proceeds from its assets and not from the grants made available by the Government to the village panchayat.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.–In that case it raises legal complications because under the Act the entire assets either received as grant or land revenue assignment from the Government or raised through its own taxes, fines, etc., form the assets of the Taluk Development Board or the Village Panchayat. Out of that the Taluk Development Board or the Village Panchayat is entitled to spend any amount depending upon the nature of the work it has to undertake. How can by a circular the Government restrict the capacity of the Taluk Development Board or the Village Panchayat to spend its amount on whatever work it likes?

2-00 P.M.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—That is a point for clarification. If we strictly and legally mean public contribution, it may not amount to be public contribution. In some of the villages where it is beyond the capacity of the public to contribute the money, as a special case we have allowed village panchayats to give then own money. But on the view or opinion expressed, we will re-examine the whole question.

WORKING OF THE PATHOLOGY DEPARTMENT IN THE VICTORIA HOSPITAL, BANGALORE.

*Q.—629. Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU (Cubbonpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether the Victoria Hospital is equipped with Pathology Department;

(*b*) whether the Department is working satisfactorily;

(*c*) whether there has not been cases when blood list certificates are issued with wrong figures and if so who is responsible for the same?